

Report Identification Number: AL-21-004

Prepared by: New York State Office of Children & Family Services

Issue Date: Jul 19, 2021

This report, prepared pursuant to section 20(5) of the Social Services Law (SSL), concerns: A report made to the New York Statewide Central Register of Child Abuse and Maltreatment (SCR) involving the death of a child.
The death of a child for whom child protective services has an open case.
The death of a child whose care and custody or custody and guardianship has been transferred to an authorized agency.
The death of a child for whom the local department of social services has an open preventive service case.

The Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) is mandated by section 20 of the SSL to investigate or cause for the investigation of the cause and circumstances surrounding the death, review such investigation, and prepare and issue a fatality report in regard to the categories of deaths noted above involving a child, except where a local or regional fatality review team issues a report, as authorized by law.

Such report must include: the cause of death; the identification of child protective or other services provided or actions taken regard to such child and child's family; any extraordinary or pertinent information concerning the circumstances of the child's death; whether the child or the child's family received assistance, care or services from the social services district prior to the child's death; any action or further investigation undertaken by OCFS or the social services district since the child's death; and as appropriate, recommendations for local or state administrative or policy changes.

This report contains no information that would identify the deceased child, his or her siblings, the parent, parents, or other persons legally responsible for the child, and any members of the deceased child's household.

By statute, this report will be forwarded to the social services district, chief county executive officer, chairperson of the local legislative body of the county where the child died and the social services district that had legal custody of the child, if different. Notice of the issuance of this report will be sent to the Speaker of the Assembly and the Temporary President of the Senate of the State of New York.

This report may <u>only</u> be disclosed to the public by OCFS pursuant to section 20(5) of the SSL. It may be released by OCFS only after OCFS has determined that such disclosure is not contrary to the best interests of the deceased child's siblings or other children in the household.

OCFS' review included an examination of actions taken by individual caseworkers and supervisors within the social services district and agencies under contract with the social services district. The observations and recommendations contained in this report reflect OCFS' assessment and the performance of these agencies.



Abbreviations

	Relationships					
BM-Biological Mother	SM-Subject Mother	SC-Subject Child				
BF-Biological Father	SF-Subject Father	OC-Other Child				
MGM-Maternal Grand Mother	MGF-Maternal Grand Father	FF-Foster Father				
PGM-Paternal Grand Mother	PGF-Paternal Grand Father	DCP-Day Care Provider				
MGGM-Maternal Great Grand Mother	MGGF-Maternal Great Grand Father	PGGF-Paternal Great Grand Father				
PGGM-Paternal Great Grand Mother	MA/MU-Maternal Aunt/Maternal Uncle	PA/PU-Paternal Aunt/Paternal Uncle				
FM-Foster Mother	SS-Surviving Sibling	PS-Parent Sub				
CH/CHN-Child/Children	OA-Other Adult					
	Contacts					
LE-Law Enforcement	CW-Case Worker	CP-Case Planner				
DrDoctor	ME-Medical Examiner	EMS-Emergency Medical Services				
DC-Day Care	FD-Fire Department	BM-Biological Mother				
CPS-Child Protective Services						
Allegations						
FX-Fractures	II-Internal Injuries	L/B/W-Lacerations/Bruises/Welts				
S/D/S-Swelling/Dislocation/Sprains	C/T/S-Choking/Twisting/Shaking	B/S-Burns/Scalding				
P/Nx-Poisoning/ Noxious Substance	XCP-Excessive Corporal Punishment	PD/AM-Parent's Drug Alcohol Misuse				
CD/A-Child's Drug/Alcohol Use	LMC-Lack of Medical Care	EdN-Educational Neglect				
EN-Emotional Neglect	SA-Sexual Abuse	M/FTTH-Malnutrition/Failure-to-thrive				
IF/C/S-Inadequate Food/ Clothing/ Shelter	IG-Inadequate Guardianship	LS-Lack of Supervision				
Ab-Abandonment	OTH/COI-Other					
	Miscellaneous					
IND-Indicated	UNF-Unfounded	SO-Sexual Offender				
Sub-Substantiated	Unsub-Unsubstantiated	DV-Domestic Violence				
LDSS-Local Department of Social	ACS-Administration for Children's	NYPD-New York City Police				
Service	Services	Department				
PPRS-Purchased Preventive	TANF-Temporary Assistance to Needy	FC-Foster Care				
Rehabilitative Services	Families					
MH-Mental Health	ER-Emergency Room	COS-Court Ordered Services				
OP-Order of Protection	RAP-Risk Assessment Profile	FASP-Family Assessment Plan				
FAR-Family Assessment Response	Hx-History	Tx-Treatment				
CAC-Child Advocacy Center	PIP-Program Improvement Plan	yo- year(s) old				
CPR-Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation	ASTO-Allowing Sex Abuse to Occur					



Case Information

Report Type: Child Deceased **Jurisdiction:** Schoharie **Date of Death:** 01/23/2021

Age: 16 year(s) Gender: Male Initial Date OCFS Notified: 01/24/2021

Presenting Information

On 1/24/2021, Schoharie County Department of Social Services (SCDSS) became aware of the death of the 16-year-old subject child (SC). The child died in a single car accident on 1/23/2021. All five occupants of the car died in the accident. Their ages and identities were unknown to SCDSS. The child was involved in an open preventive services case with SCDSS at the time of his death. The case was opened on 8/12/2019 due to concerns for domestic violence, alcohol abuse, and drug use in the home by the mother and stepfather. SCDSS informed OCFS of the child's death on 1/24/2021 through an OCFS-7065 Agency Reporting Form.

Executive Summary

This report concerns the death of a 16-year-old child which occurred on 1/23/2021 in a single vehicle accident. The child was a passenger in the vehicle which had four other peers inside it at the time of the accident. All five occupants of the vehicle were killed in the crash. The child lived with his mother, stepfather, and 5-year-old sibling at the time of his death. The family was involved in an open prevention case at the time of the fatal incident.

SCDSS learned of the child's death on 1/24/2021 and informed OCFS of the death on the same date. SCDSS made unsuccessful attempts to contact the family by phone and email. One home visit was made and the mother spoke briefly with SCDSS at the home. The mother informed SCDSS that the family was not interested in additional services in relation to the child's death.

Following the death of the child, SCDSS did not document that the 5-year-old surviving sibling was safe in the care of the mother and stepfather despite significant historical concerns for drug and alcohol abuse occurring in the home and in the presence of the children. SCDSS did not obtain any further documentation or information regarding the death of the child and closed the prevention case.

Findings Related to the CPS Investigation of the Fatality

Safety Assessment:

- Was sufficient information gathered to make the decision recorded on the:
 - Safety assessment due at the time of determination?

N/A

Determination:

- \bullet Was sufficient information gathered to make determination(s) for all allegations as well as any others N/A identified in the course of the investigation?
- Was the determination made by the district to unfound or indicate appropriate?

N/A

Explain:

While there was not a requirement of a safety assessment to be completed at the time the preventive case was closed,

AL-21-004 FINAL Page 3 of 12



SCDSS did not document any attempts to assess the safety of the SS following the death of the SC, or gather information relevant to the death of the SC.

Was the decision to close the case appropriate?

No

Was casework activity commensurate with appropriate and relevant statutory or regulatory requirements? Yes Was there sufficient documentation of supervisory consultation?

No

Explain:

circumstances

Total number of deaths at incident event:

Adults: Unknown

Children ages 0-18: 1

The decision to close the services case was made without documentation of a supervisory consult, without vital information regarding the death of the SC being obtained, and without the safety of the SS in the care of the mother and stepfather being documented.

	Required Actions	Related to the Fatality		
Are there Required Actions rel	lated to the compliance is	ssue(s)? Yes No		
Fata	lity-Related Informat	ion and Investigative A	Activities	
	Inciden	t Information		
Date of Death: 01/23/2021		Time of Death: 08:40 l	PM (Approximate)	
County where fatality incident				Schoharie
Was 911 or local emergency nu				Unknown
Did EMS respond to the scene?	?			Unknown
At time of incident leading to d	leath, had child used alco	ohol or drugs?		Unknown
Child's activity at time of incid	ent:			
☐ Sleeping	☐ Working		Driving / Vehicle occ	cupant
☐ Playing	Eating		Unknown	
Other				
Did child have supervision at t	ime of incident leading to	o death? No - Not needed	given developmental	age or

Household Composition at time of Fatality

Household	Relationship	Role	Gender	Age
Deceased Child's Household	Deceased Child	No Role	Male	16 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Mother	No Role	Female	45 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Sibling	No Role	Male	5 Year(s)
Deceased Child's Household	Stepfather	No Role	Male	39 Year(s)

AL-21-004 **FINAL** Page 4 of 12



LDSS Response

SCDSS became aware of the SC's death on 1/24/2021. SCDSS notified OCFS of the SC's death and obtained information regarding the child's death from LE.

LE informed SCDSS that the SC was a passenger in a car with four other peers which was involved in a single car accident. All five passengers in the vehicle were killed in the accident.

Upon learning of the SC's death, SCDSS conducted a home visit to meet with the family and offer additional services in relation to the SC's death. At the home visit, the BM spoke with SCDSS and informed them that the family wanted no further services or involvement. The court order mandating preventive services had expired and the case was scheduled to be closed prior to the SC's death. SCDSS closed the family's services case on 2/5/2020 due to the family declining additional services. There was a 5-year-old surviving sibling (SS) whose safety was not documented as being assessed in the services case following the death of the SC. Additionally, the record did not reflect the sibling was observed following the death. The biological father (BF) lived out of state and was not contacted by SCDSS.

Official Manner and Cause of Death

Official Manner: Accident

Primary Cause of Death: From an injury - external cause

Person Declaring Official Manner and Cause of Death: Unknown

Multidisciplinary Investigation/Review

Was the fatality reviewed by an OCFS approved Child Fatality Review Team? Yes Comments: Schoharie County has an approved OCFS Child Fatality Review Team.

CPS Fatality Casework/Investigative Activities

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
All children observed?		\boxtimes		
When appropriate, children were interviewed?		\boxtimes		
Contact with source?				
All appropriate Collaterals contacted?		\boxtimes		
First Responders		\boxtimes		
Family Members		\boxtimes		
Emergency Room Personnel		\boxtimes		
Medical Examiner / Coroner		\boxtimes		
Was a death-scene investigation performed?			\boxtimes	
Coordination of investigation with law enforcement?	\boxtimes			

AL-21-004 FINAL Page 5 of 12



Was there timely entry of progress notes and other required documentation?				
Fotolity Cofety Aggegment Activities				
Fatality Safety Assessment Activities				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were there any surviving siblings or other children in the household?	\boxtimes			
Was there an adequate assessment of impending or immediate danger to shousehold named in the report:	surviving	siblings/o	ther chil	dren in the
Within 24 hours?				
At 7 days?			\boxtimes	
At 30 days?			\boxtimes	
Was there an approved Initial Safety Assessment for all surviving siblings/ other children in the household within 24 hours?			\boxtimes	
Are there any safety issues that need to be referred back to the local district?		\boxtimes		
When safety factors were present that placed the surviving siblings/other children in the household in impending or immediate danger of serious harm, were the safety interventions, including parent/caretaker actions adequate?				
Explain: A home visit was made, however safety of the SS was not documented to have being closed.	been asso	essed prio	r to the se	rvices case
E (P' D' L A (/ P' L	D . (*1.			
Fatality Risk Assessment / Risk Assessment	Prome			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the risk assessment/RAP adequate in this case?			\boxtimes	
During the course of the investigation, was sufficient information gathered to assess risk to all surviving siblings/other children in the household?				
Was there an adequate assessment of the family's need for services?				
Did the protective factors in this case require the LDSS to file a petition in Family Court at any time during or after the investigation?		\boxtimes		
Were appropriate/needed services offered in this case				
Placement Activities in Response to the Fatality In	nvestigatio	n		
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to



Did the safety factors in the case show the need for the surviving siblings/other children in the household be removed or placed in foster care at any time during this fatality investigation?		
Were there surviving children in the household that were removed either as a result of this fatality report / investigation or for reasons unrelated to this fatality?	\boxtimes	

Legal Activity Related to the Fatality

Was there legal activity as a result of the fatality investigation? There was no legal activity.

Services Provided to the Family in Response to the Fatality

Services	Provided After	Offered, but	Offered, Unknown	Not	Needed but	N/A	CDR Lead to
Services	Death	Refused	if Used	Offered	Unavailable	14/11	Referral
Bereavement counseling		\boxtimes					
Economic support							
Funeral arrangements		\boxtimes					
Housing assistance							
Mental health services		\boxtimes					
Foster care							
Health care							
Legal services						\boxtimes	
Family planning						\boxtimes	
Homemaking Services						\boxtimes	
Parenting Skills						\boxtimes	
Domestic Violence Services						\boxtimes	
Early Intervention						\boxtimes	
Alcohol/Substance abuse						\boxtimes	
Child Care							
Intensive case management						\boxtimes	
Family or others as safety resources						\boxtimes	
Other							

Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality? No

Explain:

Services for the sibling were declined by the parents.

AL-21-004 FINAL Page 7 of 12



Were services provided to parent(s) and other care givers to address any immediate needs related to the fatality? No

Explain:

The parents declined all services offered by SCDSS.

History Prior to the Fatality

Did the child have a history of alleged child abuse/maltreatment? Was the child ever placed outside of the home prior to the death? Wese Were there any siblings ever placed outside of the home prior to this child's death? Yese Was the child acutely ill during the two weeks before death? No

CPS - Investigative History Three Years Prior to the Fatality

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
08/17/2020	Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Mother, Female, 45 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	Yes
	Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Mother, Female, 45 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Stepfather, Male, 38 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Stepfather, Male, 38 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated	

Report Summary:

The SCR report alleged that the mother and stepfather left the 4-year-old sibling home alone while they went out. The report also alleged that the mother and stepfather allowed the 4-year-old sibling to play barefoot in an area where there was broken glass on the ground.

Report Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 10/01/2020

Basis for Determination:

SCDSS interviewed familial members and the allegations in the SCR report were denied by all parties. The parents stated that they utilized a video monitor system to observe the 4-year-old sibling if they step outside or into the garage. SCDSS found no credible evidence to substantiate the allegations and the investigation was closed.

OCFS Review Results:

SCDSS made multiple attempts to meet with the family upon receipt of the SCR report; however, contact with the family was not made until 9/9/20. SCDSS documented safety concerns in the safety assessment due to the allegations made; however, did not utilize additional investigative resources, such as law enforcement, to assess the safety of the children in the home. The allegations were unsubstantiated due to a lack of credible evidence and the department remained involved with the family through an open prevention services case.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? ⊠Yes	No
---	----

Issue:

Failure to provide notice of report

Summary:

SDSS did not provide Notice of Existence letters to the biological father of the SC.



Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(f)

Action:

SCDSS will obtain demographic information of biological parents and send a Notice of Existence letter when contact information becomes available.

Issue:

Review of CPS History

Summary:

There was no documentation of a CPS history check for the family.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(i)

Action:

SCDSS will review all prior CPS history within regulatory required timeframes.

Issue:

Contact/Information From Reporting/Collateral Source

Summary:

SCDSS did not document attempts to contact the biological father of the SC to gather information as it pertains to safety, risk, and a determination of the allegations.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(b)

Action:

SCDSS will make diligent efforts to contact absent parents to attempt to gather relevant information as it pertains to safety, risk, and a determination of the allegations.

Date of SCR Report	Alleged Victim(s)	Alleged Perpetrator(s)	Allegation(s)	Allegation Outcome	Compliance Issue(s)
05/05/2020	Deceased Child, Male, 16 Years	Mother, Female, 44 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	Yes
	Deceased Child, Male, 16 Years	1	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 16 Years	Stepfather, Male, 38 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Deceased Child, Male, 16 Years	* · · ·	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Mother, Female, 44 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Mother, Female, 44 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 4 Years		Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Stepfather, Male, 38 Years	Inadequate Guardianship	Unsubstantiated	
	Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Stepfather, Male, 38 Years	Lack of Supervision	Unsubstantiated	

AL-21-004 FINAL Page 9 of 12



Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Stepfather, Male, 38 Years	Parents Drug / Alcohol Misuse	Unsubstantiated
Sibling, Male, 4 Years	Mother, Female, 44 Years	Lacerations / Bruises / Welts	Unsubstantiated

Report Summary:

The SCR report alleged a history of drug and alcohol abuse by the stepfather and mother while acting as the sole caregivers to the SC and the 4-year-old SS. The SCR report alleged that the stepfather had become violent in the home and that the parents left the children unattended for extended periods of time.

Report Determination: Unfounded **Date of Determination:** 07/07/2020

Basis for Determination:

SCDSS conducted family and collateral interviews to make a determination of the allegations. The parents denied drug and alcohol use and passed a drug test that was administered. The mother and stepfather denied leaving the children alone, as did the children. The investigation was closed, and the previously opened prevention services case remained open.

OCFS Review Results:

SCDSS made a determination of the allegations in accordance with evidence gathered. SCDSS did not document attempts to contact the BF of the SC or notify him of the report or document a history check within required time frames.

Are there Required Actions related to the compliance issue(s)? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \quad \text{No} \)

Issue:

Review of CPS History

Summary:

There was no documentation of a CPS history check for the family.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(i)

Action:

SCDSS will review all prior CPS history within regulatory required timeframes.

Issue:

Failure to provide notice of report

Summary:

SDSS did not provide Notice of Existence letters to the biological father of the SC.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(f)

Action:

SCDSS will obtain demographic information of biological parents and send a Notice of Existence letter when contact information becomes available.

Iccue

Contact/Information From Reporting/Collateral Source

Summary:

SCDSS did not document attempts to contact the biological father of the SC to gather information as it pertains to safety, risk, and a determination of the allegations.

Legal Reference:

18 NYCRR 432.2(b)(3)(ii)(b)

Action:

SCDSS will make diligent efforts to contact absent parents to attempt to gather relevant information as it pertains to safety, risk, and a determination of the allegations.

AL-21-004 FINAL Page 10 of 12



CPS - Investigative History More Than Three Years Prior to the Fatality

There were two investigations which occurred more than three years prior to the fatality. The investigations involved allegations of drug and alcohol use by the mother and stepfather, and domestic violence occurring between the mother and the stepfather in the presence of the SC and SS. Both investigations were substantiated, and a neglect petition was filed against the mother and stepfather.

Known CPS History Outside of NYS

There is no known history outside of NYS.

Services Open at the Time of the Fatality

Was the deceased child(ren) involved in an open preventive services case at the time of the fatality? Yes Date the preventive services case was opened: 08/17/2019

Evaluative Review of Services that were Open at the Time of the Fatality

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the service provider(s) comply with the timeliness and content requirements for progress notes?	\boxtimes			
Did the services provided meet the service needs as outlined in the case record?				
Did all service providers comply with mandated reporter requirements?	\boxtimes			
Was there information in the case record that indicated the existence of behaviors or conditions that placed the children in the case in danger or increased their risk of harm?				

Casework Contacts

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Did the service provider comply with case work contacts, including face- to-face contact as required by regulations pertaining to the program choice?				

Services Provided

	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were services provided to siblings or other children in the household to address any immediate needs and support their well-being in response to the fatality?				
Were services provided to parents as necessary to achieve safety, permanency, and well-being?				

AL-21-004 FINAL Page 11 of 12



Family Assessment and Service Plan (FAS)	P)			
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Was the most recent FASP approved on time?	\boxtimes			
Was there a current Risk Assessment Profile/Risk Assessment in the most recent FASP?	\boxtimes			
Provider				
	Yes	No	N/A	Unable to Determine
Were Services provided by a provider other than the Local Department of Social Services?	\boxtimes			
Additional information, if necessary: Services were provided by both internal and external providers.				
Preventive Services History				
The family participated in both voluntary and court ordered prevention services t domestic violence in the home, and drug and alcohol services.	to deal w	ith behavi	oral issues	s by the SC,
Foster Care Placement History				
The SC and SS were placed with relatives under Article 10 custody in June 2017 transitioned to Article 6 and services were discontinued.	-Novemb	per 2017 v	vhen custo	ody
Legal History Within Three Years Prior to the l	Fatality			
Was there any legal activity within three years prior to the fatality investiga	tion? Th	ere was n	o legal act	civity
Additional Local District Comments				
SCDSS unable to asses safety due to denial of access to child by parents.				
Recommended Action(s)				
Are there any recommended actions for local or state administrative or policy. Are there any recommended prevention activities resulting from the review.		_	es No	